

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,596	VAN ELSAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emmanuel Coffy	2157	

All Participants:
Status of Application: prosecution pending

 (1) Emmanuel Coffy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter L. Michaelson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2005
Time: 1:00 P.M.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-4

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to ascertain which document constitutes the specification and which set of claims should be examined for there are more than one set of claims. It was agreed that the latest documentation filed on February 11, 2002 and the set of claims labeled substitute will be examined. .